

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
8 **WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON**
9 **AT SEATTLE**

10 STRIKE 3 HOLDINGS, LLC, a Delaware
11 corporation,

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

14 JOHN DOE subscriber assigned IP address
50.54.142.188,

15 Defendant.
16

Case No.: 2:17-cv-01733-MJP

**ORDER ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION
FOR LEAVE TO SERVE THIRD
PARTY SUBPOENA PRIOR TO A
RULE 26(f) CONFERENCE**

17 THIS MATTER came before the Court upon Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to Serve a
18 Third Party Subpoena Prior to a Rule 26(f) Conference (the "Motion"), and the Court being
19 duly advised of the same and the Court file herein does hereby FIND AND ORDER THAT:

20 1. Plaintiff has established that "good cause" exists for it to serve a third party
21 subpoena on Frontier Communications (hereinafter the "ISP"). *See UMG Recording, Inc. v.*
22 *Doe*, 2008 WL 4104214, *4 (N.D. Cal. 2008); and *Arista Records LLC v. Does 1-19*, 551 F.
23 Supp. 2d 1, 6-7 (D.D.C. 2008);

24 2. Plaintiff may serve the ISP with a Rule 45 subpoena commanding the ISP to
25 provide Plaintiff with the true name and address of the Defendant to whom the ISP assigned an
26 IP address as set forth on Exhibit A to the Complaint. Plaintiff shall attach to any such
27 subpoena a copy of this Order;

28 ORDER ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR
LEAVE TO SERVE A THIRD PARTY
SUBPOENA PRIOR TO A RULE 26(f)
CONFERENCE - (2:17-cv-01733-MJP)

1 3. Plaintiff may also serve a Rule 45 subpoena in the same manner as above on any
2 service provider that is identified in response to a subpoena as a provider of Internet services to
3 one of the Defendants;

4 4. If the ISP qualifies as a “cable operator,” as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 522(5),
5 which states:

6 the term “cable operator” means any person or group of persons

7 (A) who provides cable service over a cable system and directly or through one
8 or more affiliates owns a significant interest in such cable system, or

9 (B) who otherwise controls or is responsible for, through any arrangement, the
10 management and operation of such a cable system.


11 it shall comply with 47 U.S.C. § 551(c)(2)(B), which states:

12 A cable operator may disclose such [personal identifying] information if the
13 disclosure is . . . made pursuant to a court order authorizing such disclosure, if
14 the subscriber is notified of such order by the person to whom the order is
15 directed.

16 by sending a copy of this Order to the Defendant; and

17 5. Plaintiff may only use the information disclosed in response to a Rule 45
18 subpoena served on the ISP for the purpose of protecting and enforcing Plaintiff’s rights as set
19 forth in its Complaint.

20
21 DATED this 12th day of December, 2017.

22
23 
24 Marsha J. Pechman
25 United States District Judge
26
27

1 Presented by:

2 FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP

3
4 s/ Bryan J. Case
Bryan J. Case, WSBA #41781

5 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*